

EXPLORING EXTERNAL QUALITY REVIEW FOR NON-INSTITUTIONAL PROVIDERS

A CHEA/CIQG WEBINAR AUGUST 11 AND 12, 2014

Judith S. Eaton

President, Council for Higher Education Accreditation



INTRODUCTION



CONTEXT FOR DISCUSSION

NON-INSTITUTIONAL PROVIDERS OF EDUCATION AND QUALITY

EXPLORING A "QUALITY PLATFORM"

A QUALITY PLATFORM PILOT

YOUR COMMENTS, IDEAS, SUGGESTIONS



CONTEXT FOR DISCUSSION

THE NON-INSTITUTIONAL SECTOR IS ATTRACTING STUDENTS: LOW-COST OR FREE AND CONVENIENT MEANS TO OBTAIN A HIGHER EDUCATION EXPERIENCE

GOVERNMENT AND EMPLOYERS ARE LOOKING TO THESE PROVIDERS - PART OF THE SOLUTION TO ACCESS, AFFORDABILITY AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

ALTHOUGH THEY HAVE INTERNAL QUALITY REVIEW PRACTICES, PROVIDERS ARE NOT REVIEWED FOR QUALITY BY EXTERNAL PARTIES



"NON-INSTITUTIONAL PROVIDERS"

PROVIDERS OF EDUCATION
EXPERIENCES OPERATING
APART FROM TRADITIONAL
COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES



WHO ARE THESE PROVIDERS?

COURSERA, UDACITY, edX, FUTURELEARN, FRANCE UNIVERSITÉ NUMÉRIQUE, OPEN2STUDY, VEDUCA, UDEMY: ONLINE COURSES OR MODULES FOR CAREER, GENERAL EDUCATION OR GENERAL INTEREST

KHAN ACADEMY: ONLINE, FREE CONTENT AND ASSISTANCE

STRAIGHTERLINE, PEARSON: PRIVATE, LOW-COST ONLINE COURSES THAT MAY BE USED FOR COLLEGE CREDIT

MOZILLA OPEN BADGES: ELECTRONIC TOOLS TO ARRAY EVIDENCE OF SKILLS AND ACHIEVEMENTS OF INDIVIDUALS



CHARACTERISTICS OF NON-INSTITUTIONAL PROVIDERS

OFFER EDUCATION EXPERIENCES EITHER SIMILAR IN LENGTH TO COURSES OR LESS THAN COURSES IN DURATION SUCH AS MODULES

MAY BE CAREER-FOCUSED, GENERAL EDUCATION OR GENERAL INTEREST

DO NOT OFFER CREDITS OR DEGREES

MAY OFFER CERTIFICATES OR BADGES

PRIMARILY ONLINE

TYPICALLY FREE OR LOW-COST



CHARACTERISTICS - 2

MAY HAVE "COURSE NAVIGATORS" OR "COURSE ASSISTANTS" RATHER THAN TRADITIONAL FACULTY

RELY ON MARKET FOR QUALITY JUDGMENT, NOT FORMAL EXTERNAL QUALITY REVIEW

STUDENTS ATTEND EPISODICALLY

SCALE MAY BE A FACTOR IN SUCCESS

IN THE U.S., DO NOT RELY ON FEDERAL STUDENT AID



QUALITY PLATFORM



WHAT IS A "QUALITY PLATFORM"?

A REVIEW, A PROCESS AND A TOOL

EXTERNAL REVIEW OF THE PERFORMANCE OF NON-INSTITUTIONAL PROVIDERS OF EDUCATION FOR THEIR QUALITY



WHAT IS ITS PURPOSE?

SERVE STUDENTS AND THE PUBLIC BY ASSURING AND IMPROVING QUALITY IN THE NON-INSTITUTIONAL SECTOR

EQUIP STUDENTS AND THE PUBLIC WITH RELIABLE INFORMATION ABOUT A PROVIDER'S QUALITY AS ITS OFFERINGS ARE USED FOR IMPROVEMENT OF WORK SKILLS, GENERAL EDUCATION, GENERAL INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OR PURSUIT OF COLLEGE CREDIT



WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS OF THE QUALITY PLATFORM?

EXTERNAL QUALITY REVIEW CAN PROTECT
STUDENTS AND SOCIETY FROM SUBSTANDARD
PROVIDERS

COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES BENEFIT FROM THE REVIEW WHEN MAKING JUDGMENTS ABOUT ACCEPTANCE OF OFFERINGS FOR CREDIT FROM THESE PROVIDERS

QUALITY ASSURANCE AND ACCREDITING ORGANIZATIONS BENEFIT WHEN REVIEWING THEIR INSTITUTIONS AND PROGRAMS' WORK WITH NON-INSTITUTIONAL PROVIDERS



WHO ARE THE AUDIENCES FOR A QUALITY PLATFORM?

STUDENTS

THE PUBLIC

QUALITY ASSURANCE AND ACCREDITATION ORGANIZATIONS

HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

EMPLOYERS AND BUSINESSES



WHAT ARE KEY ELEMENTS OF A QUALITY PLATFORM?

STANDARDS WITH A PRIMARY EMPHASIS ON STUDENT OUTCOMES

SELF-EVALUATION BY THE PROVIDER BASED ON STANDARDS

EXTERNAL REVIEW BY PEER EXPERTS AND THE PUBLIC (EXPERT TEAM) BASED ON STANDARDS

DECISION BY EXPERT TEAM WHETHER THE PROVIDER MEETS THE STANDARDS

AWARD OF "QUALITY PLATFORM PROVIDER" STATUS

PERIODIC RE-EXAMINATION OF THE PROVIDER

TRANSPARENCY AND COMPARABILITY OF REVIEW AND RESULTS



WHAT IS THE PROCESS TO BECOME A QUALITY PLATFORM PROVIDER?

COMPLETE QUALITY PLATFORM APPLICATION

PROVIDE AND CERTIFY BACKGROUND INFORMATION

SUBMIT EVIDENCE THAT QUALITY PLATFORM STANDARDS ARE MET (SELF-REVIEW)

ENGAGE WITH QUALITY PLATFORM EXPERT TEAM AS REQUESTED (EXTERNAL REVIEW)

RECEIVE A POSITIVE JUDGMENT FROM THE TEAM OF EXPERTS

RECEIVE A "QUALITY PLATFORM PROVIDER" AWARD



EXAMPLES OF STANDARDS

LEARNING OUTCOMES ARE ARTICULATED AND ACHIEVED

LEARNING OUTCOMES MEET POSTSECONDARY EXPECTATIONS

CURRICULA PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR SUCCESSFUL TRANSFER OF CREDIT

TRANSPARENCY IS MAINTAINED AND COMPARABILITY IS ESTABLISHED



BACKGROUND INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED

DOCUMENTATION OF LEGAL STATUS

MOST RECENT EXTERNAL FINANCIAL AUDIT

CURRENT INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROVIDER'S PURPOSE, OFFERINGS AND CREDENTIAL (IF ANY)

CURRENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT PRACTICES TO ASSIST AND SUPPORT STUDENTS: FACULTY, ADVISORS AND OTHER ACADEMIC PROFESSIONALS



WHO WILL BE QUALITY PLATFORM EXPERTS DOING A REVIEW?

ACADEMICS WITH SIGNIFICANT FACULTY OR ADMINISTRATIVE EXPERIENCE OR BOTH IN TRADITIONAL AND NON-TRADITIONAL HIGHER EDUCATION

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC: STUDENTS AND INDIVIDUALS FROM BUSINESS, GOVERNMENT, PHILANTHROPY

...PROFESSORS, FACULTY, STUDENTS, ACCREDITORS, EMPLOYERS...



ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS

HOW MUCH TIME DOES A REVIEW TAKE?

WHO DECIDES THE STANDARDS?

WHAT COUNTS AS EVIDENCE THAT STANDARDS ARE MET?

HOW DOES A PROVIDER "PASS" OR "FAIL"?

HOW MUCH DOES THE REVIEW COST?

FOR HOW LONG IS THE STATUS AWARDED?



QUALITY PLATFORM PILOT



WHAT IS THE QUALITY PLATFORM PILOT?

A PROJECT TO APPLY THE QUALITY PLATFORM REVIEW WITH A SMALL GROUP OF NON-INSTITUTIONAL PROVIDERS AND EVALUATE THE EFFORT:

- SELECT NON-INSTITUTIONAL PROVIDERS TO REVIEW
- SELECT EXPERTS TO UNDERTAKE THE REVIEW
- APPLY PILOT QUALITY STANDARDS THROUGH SELF-REVIEW AND EXTERNAL REVIEW
- DECIDE QUALITY PLATFORM STATUS
- EVALUATE THE PILOT



HOW WILL THE PILOT BE EVALUATED: ASK AND ANSWER

ARE THE QUALITY PLATFORM STANDARDS FOCUSED ON ISSUES CENTRAL TO STUDENT LEARNING AND ASSURING QUALITY?

WHAT STANDARDS MIGHT BE REPLACED? WHAT MIGHT BE ADDED?

WHAT WAS EFFECTIVE/INEFFECTIVE ABOUT THE REVIEW?

WHAT ADDITIONAL CHANGES, IF ANY, ARE NEEDED TO ASSURE A RIGOROUS AND EFFECTIVE PROCESS?

ARE ADDITIONAL PILOTS NEEDED?



HOW DOES THE QUALITY PLATFORM DIFFER FROM EXISTING QUALITY REVIEW EFFORTS?

QA/ACCREDITATION ORGANIZATIONS: QP DIFFERS BECAUSE IT REVIEWS NON-INSTITUTIONAL PROVIDERS OTHER THAN INSTITUTIONS AND PROGRAMS.

CREDIT RECOMMENDATIONS AND ASSESSMENT OF PRIOR LEARNING: QP DIFFERS BECAUSE THE FOCUS IS ON THE PROVIDER (ORGANIZATION) AND NOT ON SPECIFIC OFFERINGS AND NOT ON STUDENT COMPETENCIES

GOVERNMENT REVIEW: IN A NUMBER OF COUNTRIES, GOVERNMENT IS NOT CARRYING THIS TYPE OF REVIEW



NEXT STEPS

SEEK INTEREST IN TESTING THE QUALITY PLATFORM

IF INTEREST, PROCEED WITH 1-3 PILOTS

EVALUATE PILOTS

DETERMINE WHETHER TO MOVE FORWARD WITH ADDITIONAL REVIEWS AND HOW



SUMMARY

THE EMERGING NON-INSTITUTIONAL SECTOR MAY BECOME A MEANS BY WHICH A GROWING NUMBER OF STUDENTS WILL UNDERTAKE EDUCATION

AT PRESENT, THERE IS NO SHARED EXTERNAL MEANS TO EVALUATE THE QUALITY OF THE PROVIDERS OF NON-INSTITUTIONAL EDUCATION

THE QUALITY PLATFORM IS ONE APPROACH TO ESTABLISHING EFFECTIVE EXTERNAL REVIEW OF QUALITY

THE QUALITY PLATFORM PILOT IS A MEANS TO TEST AND EVALUATE THE PROPOSED QUALITY PLATFORM



WHAT ARE YOUR THOUGHTS, QUESTIONS?

HOW MIGHT THE QUALITY PLATFORM ADD VALUE TO ASSURING QUALITY?

WILL BEING A QUALITY PLATFORM PROVIDER ADD CREDIBILITY TO THE WORK OF NON-INSTITUTIONAL PROVIDERS?

IF THE QUALITY PLATFORM IS CONSIDERED EFFECTIVE FOR THE NON-INSTITUTIONAL SECTOR, WHO SHOULD DO THIS WORK?

- CURRENT QUALITY ASSURANCE AGENCIES AND ACCREDITING ORGANIZATIONS?
- NEWLY FORMED QUALITY REVIEW ORGANIZATIONS FOCUSED ONLY ON THE NON-INSTITUTIONAL SECTOR?
- OTHER?

Council for Higher Education Accreditation

TO CONTACT US

GENERAL MAILBOX: CHEA@CHEA.ORG

PRESIDENT JUDITH EATON: <u>EATON@CHEA.ORG</u>

CIQG DIRECTOR MARK GRACE: GRACE@CHEA.ORG

THANK YOU WWW.CHEA.ORG